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STRUCTURED ABSTRACT
Abstracts should be no more than 250 words. All manuscripts submitted to Annals, with the exception of Editorials, Commentaries, and Letters, require an abstract that is structured with the appropriate headings as shown below. (Editorials and Commentaries require an unstructured abstract up to 100 words in length.)

RESEARCH REPORTS

Background
Brief (2–3 sentences) description of why the study is needed and its importance to the field.

Objective
1. Concise (1–2 sentences) statement of the objective or hypothesis to be addressed.
2. Primary objective identified and stated first, followed by any key secondary objectives.

Methods
1. Design: Clear statement of the study’s design, including all aspects (eg, parallel group, randomized, blinded). Indicate if Institutional Review Board or other ethical considerations were needed and/or approved.
2. Participants and setting: The most pertinent inclusion and exclusion criteria, and the setting within which the study was conducted.
3. Interventions: Complete details on treatment (eg, drug dose, route of administration, and duration of administration) and, if pertinent, control interventions.
4. Outcome: Primary and secondary outcome measures, identified as such.

Results
1. Number of participants: Total number, with breakdown into defined groups (eg, treatment, control) shown, followed by number of participants analyzed, again with breakdown into defined groups shown.
2. Outcome: Numbers of participants and events shown, with summary of the outcome in each group reported as effect size (eg, relative risk, odds ratio) and precision (confidence interval). Data on all outcome measures and any negative and/or nonsignificant findings must be included.
3. Adverse events/safety: Any unintended effects shown; if none, that should be stated.
4. Limitations: Factors affecting accuracy or generalizability of results (eg, small sample size, open-label design).

Conclusions
1. Conclusions (not summary) of the study, based only on the results shown, with balance of benefits and harms.
2. Clinical application of the findings, based only on the data obtained (ie, avoid over-generalization) and
whether more study is needed before findings should be implemented into clinical practice.

Research Report abstract example:

**Background:** No previous studies exist examining implementation of an institution-wide guideline and order set for hyperglycemic emergencies (diabetic ketoacidosis [DKA] and hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state [HHS]). **Objective:** Evaluate the impact of an institutional guideline and order set for hyperglycemic emergencies. **Methods:** This retrospective descriptive study evaluated DKA or HHA patients. Two time periods were evaluated: phase 1 (PRE) assessed practice preguideline implementation, and phase 2 (POST) assessed practice postguideline and order set introduction. **Results:** A total of 172 patients (91 PRE and 81 POST) were included in the analysis. There was no difference in the mean hospital length of stay (LOS) in the PRE versus POST groups (5.2 ± 4 vs 5.9 ± 8.6 days, $P = .49$); mean intensive care unit (ICU) LOS was shorter in the POST group (64.8 ± 19 vs 37.1 ± 74.8 hours, $P < .01$). The POST group had an increase in frequency of assessments for clearance of urinary ketones (18 vs 33.3%, $P = .03$) and β-hydroxybutyrate (16 vs 37%, $P < .01$). Frequency of point-of-care glucose testing (12.5 ± 4.6 vs 15.1 ± 4.7, $P < .01$) and time to anion gap closure (13 ± 9 vs 9.3 ± 7.4 hours, $P < .01$) improved in the POST group. There was no difference in the number of patients experiencing hypoglycemia or hypokalemia between both groups. **Conclusions:** Implementation of an institutional guideline and order set for hyperglycemic emergencies decreased ICU LOS and time to anion gap closure, with no difference in rates of hypoglycemia.

**REVIEW ARTICLES**

**Objective**

Explain the rationale and goals for the review.

**Data Sources**

Provide specific search details in the abstract and specify the resources employed in the search and include date ranges, search terms, and limits.

**Study Selection and Data Extraction**

Quantify the original reports included and how they were chosen, as well as the methods used for abstracting the data.

**Data Synthesis**

Summarize main results and provide interpretation of the data from various studies.

**Conclusions**

Summarize the key “take-home” points from the review. **NOTE:** Reviews that can only conclude with the suggestion that “additional studies are needed” will be of a lower priority than reviews that can provide direct clinical recommendations or assessments as based on the literature being reviewed.

**Review Article abstract example:**

**Objective:** To review the possible association between azithromycin and increased cardiovascular risk. **Data sources:** A MEDLINE literature search MEDLINE (1946-August 2013) was performed using the search terms macrolide, azithromycin, QT prolongation, cardiovascular, and torsade de pointes. Additional references were identified from a review of literature citations. **Study selection and data extraction:** All English-language observational studies and case reports assessing the association between azithromycin and QT prolongation or cardiovascular risk were included. **Data synthesis:** A total of 6 case reports have shown this possible association. In 3 of these cases, proarrhythmic events were reported. In a prospective observational study of 47 individuals with low cardiovascular risk, electrocardiograms were compared before and after 5 days of azithromycin treatment. A mild statistically insignificant prolongation of the QTc was noted. No arrhythmias were observed. A large observational cohort study reported a small increase in cardiovascular deaths after azithromycin therapy, primarily among patients with high baseline cardiovascular risk. Conversely, a second cohort study involving a population of young to middle-aged adults failed to find an association. **Conclusions:** Azithromycin therapy may prolong the QT interval and, in rare cases, precipitate the potentially fatal arrhythmia torsade de pointes. Patients with additional risk factors for QT prolongation appear to be at highest risk, including women, elderly individuals; those with existing or prior history of cardiovascular disease, QT interval prolongation, hypokalemia, hypomagnesium, or bradycardia; and those using concomitant drugs associated with QT prolongation. For patients without these additional risk factors, azithromycin appears to be relatively safe.

**Text:** Appropriate headings and subheadings should be used liberally throughout the text. Abbreviations must be defined upon first use in the text. Use of abbreviations should be limited to, for example, lengthy terms; the majority of drug names should not be abbreviated. USANs or, when appropriate, chemical names, must be used for all drugs. Manufacturers’ code numbers should be used only when a generic name is not yet available. Trade names should be included only to distinguish between different trade preparations, for some combination drugs, or in reviews of drugs that have been recently approved by the FDA.
REFERENCES: All references, including those related primarily to figures and tables, must appear in the text and be cited consecutively. References in text, tables, and figure legends should be denoted with superscript Arabic numerals. Personal communications (ie, unpublished data) may not be used as numbered references. Information obtained through personal communication must be inserted in parentheses within the text and include the contact person’s name, academic degree, affiliation, and date of communication. Signed permission letters from quoted sources indicating the content of the personal communication must be provided to the Editorial Office (aop@sagepub.com). Abstracts and Letters to the Editor may be used as numbered references but must be identified as such in the citations. Inclusive pagination must be provided for all references. Journal names should be abbreviated as they appear in PubMed. Those not appearing in PubMed should be spelled out. Referenced articles that are cited as “In press” must include the title of the journal that has accepted the paper. List all authors when there are 6 or fewer; with 7 or more authors, list the first 3, followed by “et al.” To facilitate online retrieval of references, include a citation’s digital object identifier (DOI) if available. More information about DOIs can be obtained at www.crossref.org or dx.doi.org. When citing articles that have been published online prior to print, authors are encouraged to include the date published online (Epub date) in addition to the full print information. When the article has appeared in print, the URL will not be used; however, a DOI should be included if available. Some examples of correct referencing style are given below.
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APPENDICES: When necessary, appendices should be used to present lengthy or detailed surveys, descriptions of extensive mathematical calculations, and/or itemized lists. They should be placed (with legends as needed) following the reference list in the manuscript. Lengthy appendices, such as algorithms, surveys, and protocols, will be published only online; the URL will be provided in the printed article where the appendix is cited.

TABLES: Each table must be double-spaced on a separate page. Please do not submit tables in image format. Tables must be editable and submitted in either Microsoft Word or Excel. Do not send pdfs or images of tables. A brief title must be provided for each table. Each column requires a brief descriptive heading. Explanations and full terms for abbreviations used should appear alphabetically below the body of the table. Statistical measures of variation (ie, standard deviation) should be identified in footnotes (designated as a, b, c, etc.). The units of measure used for all data in a column should be indicated in parentheses in the column heading. Internal horizontal or vertical rules should not be used. Duplication of table content within text should be minimized.

FIGURES: Figures and artwork should be submitted in their original file formats and with minimum resolution of 300 DPI (600 DPI for line art). Letters, numbers, and symbols should be clear, uniform in size, and large and dark enough to be legible when the size of the figure is reduced to fit column width in the journal. Titles and detailed explanations should appear in the legends rather than in the figures. Bar graphs or pie charts should be in black and white only and not contain gray shading as filler or background; distinctive fillings should be used instead (eg, white or solid black; horizontal, vertical, or slanted stripes; cross-hatching; dots). Dotted lines and decimal points should be dark enough to reproduce well. Background horizontal or vertical lines should not be used. Figures should have labels on their margins indicating file number, figure number, and corresponding author’s name at top of figure. The top of a figure should also be designated if the figure lacks distinguishing features. Legends should be double-spaced, and each abbreviation and symbol used must be defined. Duplication of figure content within the text should be minimized.
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